

Weak Decays of Heavy Baryons in the Covariant Quasipotential Approach

A. G. Rusetsky^{a,c}, M. A. Ivanov,^a J. G. Körner,^b V. E. Lyubovitskij,^{a,d}

^aJINR, Dubna, ^bMainz University, ^cTbilisi University, ^dTomsk University

Abstract

Bethe-Salpeter approach has been applied to the study of $b \rightarrow c$ transitions both for heavy mesons and heavy baryons. Meson and baryon IW functions are calculated on the equal footing. A reasonable agreement with the experimental data for heavy to heavy semileptonic transitions has been obtained.

The remarkable progress in the Heavy Quark Effective Theory (HQET) ¹ still leaves a large room for the application of model studies of the momentum dependence of heavy hadron decay formfactors which, being governed by the dynamics of light constituents, can not be determined from the first principles in QCD and HQET. (for the review of the model approaches see e.g. ^{1,2}) The nonrelativistic models, though very simple and transparent, in general are known not to suffice for this purpose. The Bethe-Salpeter (BS) approach provides a completely relativistic treatment of the bound-state problem, in which the interaction operators between the particles can be constructed from the underlying field-theoretical Lagrangian. On the other hand, the BS approach in the instantaneous approximation shares most of the transparency of the nonrelativistic picture, and the corrections emerging due to the deviation from the instantaneous limit are calculable by use of the quasipotential method ³. For this reason, as well as in the view of the fact that the instantaneous BS kernels (both for $q\bar{q}$ and $3q$ cases) have become recently available from QCD-based calculations ⁴, a systematic reexamination of the weak decay characteristics simultaneously in the heavy meson and heavy baryon sectors seems to be very important. Most of the recent studies on the subject, however, have been restricted to the meson sector only (see, e.g. ^{5,6}), where the comprehensive analysis, based on the BS equation, is carried out both in the heavy quark limit ⁵ as well as including leading $1/m_Q$ corrections ⁶. The investigations of heavy baryon decays mostly utilize the quark-diquark approximation ⁷, which vastly reduces the complexity of the problem and allows for the use of the technique developed for the description of two-body bound states within BS approach. The quantitative treatment of the weak decays of the genuine three-body bound systems, however, is still desirable.

Due to the extreme complexity of the above stated problem (especially, bearing in mind the necessity of having to include the nonfactorizing contributions in heavy baryon nonleptonic decays ^{8,9}), one has to make some simplifying assumptions which, presumably, may be lifted at the subsequent stages of the investigation. Namely, we assume:

- Instantaneous interquark interactions in the c.m. frame of the hadron (the retardation effect can be studied perturbatively, with the use of the quasipotential method).
- Spectator approximation for quarks which implies the negligence of the spin-spin interaction between constituents ⁸ (for the Lagrangian formulation of the Spectator Model see Refs. ⁹). The BS approach provides an appropriate tool for the study of spin interaction effects, which can be embedded in the present framework, after the complicated three-body dynamics in heavy baryon decays is well understood.

• "Mass shell" approximation for quarks which implies the fixing of the momenta of the constituent quarks in the heavy hadron w.f.-s on the mass shell and seems to be natural in the spectator picture. This approximation allows one to arrive at a very simple expression for various decay matrix elements which are given in the form of the quantum-mechanical overlap integrals containing equal-time (ET) w.f.-s of hadrons.

In the construction of matrix elements we abandon the "two-tier" approach¹⁰ which is schematically given as:

$$3D \text{ Equation} \rightarrow \text{Equal-time w.f.} \rightarrow \text{BS w.f.} \rightarrow \text{Amplitudes}$$

Though very successful and widely used in the construction of the two-body bound-state transition amplitudes^{5,6}, the above approach, in our opinion, reveals some undesirable properties when applied to the three-body bound states. Namely, the BS w.f. constructed within this approach contains the ill-defined square root of the δ -function¹⁰. For this reason we prefer to work entirely in terms of the ET w.f. within the covariant quasipotential approach.

We choose the instantaneity ansatz for the three-particle pairwise kernel according to Ref.¹⁰. The different choice¹¹, in our opinion, seems to be less natural in the view of the underlying field-theoretical content. In the spectator approximation both forms, however, lead to the similar results.

At the present stage we apply the covariant quasipotential approach to the study of $b \rightarrow c$ semileptonic transitions in the heavy quark limit. Under the approximations listed above the expression for the heavy baryon IW function can be easily reduced to the form (details can be found in our forthcoming publication)

$$\xi_B(\omega) = \frac{1+\omega}{2} \int \prod_{i=1}^2 \frac{d^3 \vec{p}_i}{(2\pi)^3} \phi_B(\{\vec{p}_i\}) \phi_B(\{\vec{p}'_i\}) \quad (1)$$

where $\vec{p}'_i = \vec{p}_i + \vec{v} \sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{p}_i^2 + \vec{v}(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{p}_i)}/(\omega+1)$, $\omega = \sqrt{1 + \vec{v}^2}$ and $i = 1, 2$ corresponds to the light constituents of the heavy hadron. The baryon ET w.f. ϕ_B is normalized to unity.

If one assumes that two light constituents in the heavy baryon do not interact, the simple result is obtained

$$\xi_B(\omega) = \frac{1+\omega}{2} \xi_M^{(1)}(\omega) \xi_M^{(2)}(\omega) \quad (2)$$

where $\xi_M^{(i)}(\omega)$ denote the meson IW functions corresponding to the light constituents 1 and 2, respectively. The expression for it is similar to (1)

$$\xi_M^{(i)}(\omega) = \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \phi_M^{(i)}(\vec{p}) \phi_M^{(i)}(\vec{p}') \quad (3)$$

Below we present the results of the fit to the baryon and meson observables within the BS approach (spectator picture). For simplicity, at the first step we have used the oscillator w.f.-s $\phi \sim \exp(-\vec{p}^2/\Lambda^2)$ with the same cutoff parameter $\Lambda = 500 \text{ MeV}$ in the meson and baryon sectors. The light constituent quark mass was taken to be $m = 250 \text{ MeV}$ (in the rough estimate we use the same masses for u, d, s quarks). The meson IW function calculated in our approach is well approximated by

$$\xi_M(\omega) = \left(\frac{2}{1+\omega} \right)^{2\rho_M^2} \quad \text{with} \quad \rho_M^2 = \frac{3}{4} + \left(\frac{m}{\Lambda} \right)^2 \quad (4)$$

Consequently, according to Eq. (2), $\rho_B^2 = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^2 (m_i/\Lambda_i)^2$. With the use of the given above values for model parameters we obtain $\rho_M^2 = 1$, $\rho_B^2 = 1.5$. The results of the calculations of heavy meson and heavy baryon observables are given in tables 1-4. We see that the results of our two-parameter fit agree well with the existing experimental data and the predictions of other models.

Table 1. f_B and f_D decay constants in MeV.

	Our	UKQCD	Ivanov[12]	Faustov[13]	Shuryak[14]	Narison[15]
f_D	226	200 ± 30	118 ± 59	200	220	173 ± 16
f_B	134	180 ± 40	92 ± 40	120	140	182 ± 18

Table 2. Branching ratios (in %) and asymmetry parameters in the decay $B \rightarrow D(D^*)e\bar{\nu}$

	Theory	Experiment
$Br(B \rightarrow D)$	$2.05 V_{bc}/0.04 ^2$	$1.6 \pm 0.7, 1.9 \pm 0.5$
$Br(B \rightarrow D^*)$	$5.35 V_{bc}/0.04 ^2$	$5.3 \pm 0.8, 4.56 \pm 0.27$
$Br(B \rightarrow D^*)/Br(B \rightarrow D)$	2.61	$2.6^{+1.1+1.0}_{-0.6-0.8}$
α_{pol}	1.71	$1.1 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.2$
α'	0.63	
A_{FB}	0.083	$0.20 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.06$
A_{FB}^T	0.20	

Table 3. Decay rates of bottom baryons (in 10^{10} sec^{-1}) for $|V_{bc}| = 0.04$

Process	[16]	[17]	[2]	[18]	Our
$\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+$	5.9	5.1	5.14	5.39	6.02
$\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow \Xi_c^+$	7.2	5.3	5.21	5.27	6.40
$\Sigma_b^+ \rightarrow \Sigma_c^{++}$	4.3			2.23	2.47
$\Omega_b^- \rightarrow \Omega_c^0$	5.4	2.3	1.52	1.87	2.62
$\Sigma_b^+ \rightarrow \Sigma_c^{*++}$				4.56	5.13
$\Omega_b^- \rightarrow \Omega_c^{*0}$			3.41	4.01	5.47

Table 4. Asymmetry parameters for Λ_b decay

	α	α'	α''	γ	α_P	γ_P
Our	-0.77	-0.12	-0.54	0.55	0.40	-0.16
[18]	-0.76	-0.12	-0.53	0.56	0.39	-0.17
[19]	-0.74	-0.12	-0.46	0.61	0.33	-0.19

Acknowledgements

M.A.I, V.E.L and A.G.R thank Mainz University for the hospitality where a part of this work was completed. A.G.R. thanks T.Kopaleishvili for the introduction to the baryon BS equation. This work was supported in part by the Heisenberg-Landau Program, by the Russian Fund of Basic Research (RFBR) under contract 96-02-17435-a, the State Committee of the Russian Federation for Education (project N 95-0-6.3-67, Grant Center at S.-Petersburg State University) and by the BMBF (Germany) under contract 06MZ566. J.G.K. acknowledges partial support by the BMBF (Germany) under contract 06MZ566.

References

1. M. Neubert, Phys. Rep. **245** (1994) 259.
2. J.G. Körner, D. Pirjol and M. Krämer, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **33** (1990) 757.
3. T. Kopaleishvili and A. Rusetsky, Nucl. Phys. **A587** (1995) 758;
Yad. Fiz. **59** (1996) 914.
4. N. Brambilla, P. Consoli and G.M. Prosperi, Phys. Rev. **D50** (1994) 5878.
5. H.-Y. Jin, C.-S. Huang and Y.B. Dai, Z. Phys. **C56** (1992) 707;
G. Zoller et al., Z. Phys. **C68** (1995) 103;
A. Abd-el Hady et al., Phys. Rev. **D55** (1996) 4629;
6. R.N.Faustov, V.O.Galkin, A.Yu.Mishurov, Phys.Rev. **D53** (1996) 1391, 6302.
7. X.-Y. Guo, T. Muta, Phys. Rev. **D54** (1996) 4629.
8. J.G. Körner and M. Krämer, Z. Phys. **C55** (1992) 659;
F. Hussain, J.G. Körner and G. Thompson, Ann. Phys. **206** (1991) 334;
9. M.A. Ivanov, J.G. Körner, V.E. Lyubovitskij and A.G. Rusetsky,
Preprints MZ-TH/97-15, MZ-TH/97-21 (1997).
10. S. Chakrabarty et al., Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **22** (1989) 43;
A.N. Mitra and I. Santhaham, Few-Body Syst., **12** (1992) 41.
11. Yu-bing Dong, Jun-chen Su and Shi-shu Wu, J. Phys. **G20** (1994) 73.
12. M.A. Ivanov, O.E. Khomutenko, Yad. Fiz. **53** (1991) 539.
13. V.A. Galkin, A.Yu Mishurov and R.N. Faustov, Yad. Fiz. **53** (1991) 1676.
14. E. Shuryak, Nucl. Phys. **B 198** (1982) 83.
15. S. Narison, Phys. Lett. **B198** (1987) 104.
16. R. Singleton, Jr., Phys. Rev. **D43** (1991) 2939.
17. H.-Y. Cheng and B. Tseng, Phys. Rev. **D48** (1993) 4188.
18. M.A. Ivanov, V.E. Lyubovitskij, J.G. Körner and P. Kroll,
Phys. Rev. **D56** (1997) 348.
19. B. König et al., Preprint DESY 93-011 (1993)